

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TESSERA, INC.,

No. C 05-4063 CW

Plaintiff,

v.

ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC., et
al.,

Defendant.

TESSERA, INC.,

No. C 12-692 CW

Plaintiff,

v.

MOTOROLA, INC., et al.,

Defendant.

AMENDED ORDER
REGARDING MOTIONS
TO ALTER CASE
SCHEDULE

(Re: Docket Nos.
1377, 1379, 1380)

AND ALL RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS

Plaintiff Tessera, Inc., Defendant Qualcomm, Inc., and
Defendants STMicroelectronics, Inc. and STMicroelectronics N.V.
(ST) all move to alter the case schedule to accommodate the Court-
appointed expert's (CAE) request to extend the deadline for his
expert report to March 14, 2014. Of the three competing
proposals, the Court finds that ST's has the advantage. ST's
proposed adjustment provides sufficient time for the Court to
consider the parties' summary judgment motions and for the parties
to attend another mediation following the CAE's opinion.
Accordingly, ST's proposal is adopted:

1	Event	Date
2	CAE Report	March 14, 2014
3	CAE Deposition Deadline	April 1, 2014
4	Tessera's Summary Judgment (SJ)	April 7, 2014 ¹
5	and Claim Construction (CC)	
6	Defendants' SJ and CC; Response	April 21, 2014
7	to Tessera SJ	
8	Tessera's Response to SJ; Reply	May 5, 2014
9	SJ and CC	
10	Defendants' Reply SJ and CC	May 19, 2014
11	CAE Advisory Opinion on CC	June 2, 2014
12	Deadline for Mandatory Mediation	June 13, 2014
13	for All Parties	
14	CMC and Hearing on CC and SJ	June 19, 2014
15	Pretrial Conference	October 8, 2014
16	Jury Trial	November 10, 2014

17
18 IT IS SO ORDERED.

19
20 Dated: 3/26/2014

21 
CLAUDIA WILKEN
United States District Judge

22
23
24
25
26 ¹ Because Tessera has already filed its summary judgment
27 brief in advance of the new deadline, it may withdraw its motion,
28 giving notice to Defendants and the Court without delay, and
refile before the new deadline.